

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/542,880	09/29/2005	Yasuyuki Kitayama	441P095	8386
42754 NIELDS & LE	7590 08/13/2007 EMACK	EXAMINER		
	IN STREET, SUITE 7	·	. CHU, YONG LIANG	
WESTBORO,	WIA 01361		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		·	1626	
÷			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Annication No.	A 15 4/->				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/542,880	KITAYAMA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Yong Chu	1626				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DY. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 1, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	• .					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Ju	<u>ine 2007</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	∑ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 8 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8</u> is/are rejected.	•					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document		on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
•						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-7 has been cancelled, and claim 8 is pending in the instant application.

Therefore, claim 8 will be examined on the merits.

Response to Amendment

The Amendment by Applicants' representative Kevin S. Lemack dated on 06/18/2007 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

Argument over rejection of claim 8 under 35 U.S.C.§102(b)

Applicant's argument over rejection of claim 8 *under 35 U.S.C.§102(b)* has been fully considered, and found persuasive. Therefore, the rejection over rejection of claim 8 *under 35 U.S.C.§102(b)* has been withdrawn.

Argument over rejection of claims under 35 U.S.C.§103(a)

Applicant's argument over rejection of claim 8 *under 35 U.S.C.§103(a)* has been fully considered, but found not persuasive. Applicant's argument is on the ground that there is no suggesting leading to the instant compound as claimed, nor is there any suggestion that any advantageous effect can be obtained by combining the specific diimonium compounds claimed with the specific counter ion claimed.

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the

/ Application/ Control Hami

Art Unit: 1626

references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). The cited reference by Santo disclosed the claimed compound as indicated with the specific examples on pages 4-5 of the previous Office action having anions X⁻. The anion of CF₃SO₃ is suggested by Sugimachi as an anion used for a near infrared ray absorbing agent with limited number of choices (around 20 anions). On the other hand, the unexpected results Applicants cited by Applicants as Comparative Example 3 and 4 are not the direct comparison of the cited prior art compounds II-(4) and II-(15) in the Office action. Finally, the comparision data in Table 8 among Examples 8, 10, and comparative 3 and 4 are at range of 5.5-6.5 versus 9.0-14.3 is not significantly unexpected results because there is no deviation was provided in the original disclosure. Therefore, the rejection of claim 8 *under 35 U.S.C.§103(a)* is maintained.

Conclusion

Claim 8 is rejected.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 10/542,880 Page 4

Art Unit: 1626

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Telephone Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yong Chu whose telephone number is 571-272-5759. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 am - 3:30 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph M^cKane can be reached on 571-272-0699. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free)

REBECCA ANDERSON
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Yong Chu, Ph.D. Patent Examiner Art Unit 1626 Joseph K. M[©]Kane Supervisory Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1626